IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

4:20-CR-3061

vs.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

ORLANDO DE JESUS BARALAGA-MARTINEZ,

Defendant.

This matter is before the Court on the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation on a Guilty Plea (filing 22) recommending that the Court accept the defendant's plea of guilty. There are no objections to the findings and recommendation. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and NECrimR 11.2(d), the Court has conducted a de novo review of the record and adopts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

The Court notes that the defendant was not expressly informed at the change of plea hearing (filing 25) of his "right to plead not guilty, or having already so pleaded, to persist in that plea." See Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(1)(B). But while the defendant was not expressly informed at his change of plea hearing about his "right to plead not guilty" in those express terms, the defendant's petition to enter guilty plea establishes that the defendant was informed of his "right to plead NOT GUILTY to every charge filed against" him. Filing 20 at 3. The defendant testified at the change of plea hearing that he had gone over the petition, with the assistance of an interpreter and counsel, and that each of his answers in the petition were truthful. Filing 25 at 5-6. The Court is convinced, from the record as a whole, that the defendant had a

sufficient understanding of his right to plead not guilty and that his decision to do so was wholly voluntary.

Therefore, the Court is persuaded that any omission from the Rule 11 colloquy was harmless, as it did not affect the defendant's substantial rights. See Rule 11(h); see also United States v. Gillen, 449 F.3d 898, 903-04 (8th Cir. 2006); United States v. McCarthy, 97 F.3d 1562, 1574-76 (8th Cir. 1996); United States v. Young, 927 F.2d 1060, 1061-63 (8th Cir. 1991). The defendant's decision to plead guilty could not have been affected by the Magistrate Judge's failure to tell the defendant what he already knew. Young, 927 F.2d at 1063. The Court also notes that neither party has objected to the findings and recommendation, meaning that any objection has been waived. See Peretz v. United States, 501 U.S. 923, 936-39 (1991).

IT IS ORDERED:

- The Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation on a Guilty Plea are adopted.
- 2. The defendant is found guilty. The plea is accepted. The Court finds that the plea of guilty is knowing, intelligent, and voluntary, and that a factual basis exists for the plea.
- 3. The Court defers acceptance of any plea agreement until the time of sentencing, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(3). Unless otherwise stated at the time of sentencing, any plea agreement will be deemed accepted upon the pronouncement of the judgment and sentence.
- 4. This case shall proceed to sentencing.

Dated this 21st day of September, 2020.

BY THE COURT:

In M. Gerrard

Chief United States District Judge